

**Nurse Support Program II
Final Report: Limited RFP
Instructional Innovation Equipment and Simulation Capacity
Due: September 30, 2027**

Reporting Period: July 1, 2026 – June 30, 2027

Grant Number: NSP II – _____

Lead Institution: _____

Partnership Members: _____

Project: Instructional Innovation Equipment and Simulation Capacity

Project Director(s): _____

Campus Mail Address: _____

Campus Phone: _____

Project Director E-mail: _____

Please submit report by September 30, 2027:

1. Electronic copies (to the e-mail addresses listed below) should be sent from the **Project Director's** email address, with the **NSP II xx-xxx in the subject line**, and only include one grant report per email submission.

Send the following:

- One **combined** PDF of the final report narrative, signed final budget summary, final budget narrative, **and**
- Excel spreadsheet of final budget summary

2. Complete the Mandatory Data Tables using the Google Form instructions

Email: laura.schenk1@maryland.gov, kimberly.ford@maryland.gov and alicia.gainey@maryland.gov

Executive Summary

Report on the entire project, utilizing information from each Annual Report and additional detail to support how these funds met the overall goals of NSP II.

The Request for Applications notes:

As a result of the increased demand for nursing education, the capacity limitations on nursing education programs due to nursing faculty shortages were exposed. In response to this barrier, the Health Services Cost Review Commission proactively created the Nurse Support Program II (NSP II) to support increased capacity in nursing education programs. At its May 4, 2005, public meeting, the HSCRC unanimously approved an increase of 0.1% of regulated gross patient revenue for use in expanding the pool of nurses in the state by increasing the capacity of nursing programs in Maryland.

How has this project increased the numbers of registered nurses/faculty prepared nurses and increased the diversity, i.e.: gender, age, ethnic minority, etc.?

- Be specific to your geographical issues and how this funding has helped and how the program will be sustained at completion of the grant.
- Identify if you met or did not meet your original goals.
- Address any discrepancy.

Type of Competitive Grant Initiative

#1-7: 1. Initiative to: Increase Nursing Pre-Licensure Enrollments and Graduates

Final Report Narrative

Instructions: Please respond to each prompt below in a short paragraph (3–6 sentences). Focus on what changed as a result of the funded equipment.

1. Equipment Need – What changed?

Briefly restate the original instructional need or capacity gap identified in your application. Describe how the funded equipment addressed this need. Indicate whether the equipment replaced outdated items, expanded capacity, or introduced new capabilities. If anything differed from the original plan (e.g., substitutions, delays, or adjustments), briefly explain.

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the paper.

2. Impact on Students and Program – What difference did it make?

Describe how the equipment has affected student learning, skills development, clinical readiness, or program capacity. Provide 1–2 concrete examples or observations (e.g., reduced lab constraints, increased practice opportunities, improved confidence or competency, ability to serve more students). If available, include simple comparison points (before/after or expected vs. actual), but formal metrics are not required.

Example: “Prior to the purchase, only 8 students could complete simulations per lab session; we can now accommodate 16, eliminating scheduling delays.”

This image shows a single page of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are approximately 20 lines visible. On the left side, there is a vertical margin line, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard ruled document.

3. Supplement, Not Supplant – How was the equipment supported and sustained?

Confirm that grant funds were used to supplement and not replace existing institutional resources. Briefly describe how the institution supported implementation (e.g., space, installation, faculty training, maintenance, or additional funding). Note any plans for ongoing use, upkeep, or integration into the program.

[illegible]

Appendix

Appendix should include the Mandatory Dissemination; Final Report Budget Narrative and Budget Summary.

Final Report Budget Narrative

For each line item in the budget, report the amounts budgeted and expended for the entire grant. Include details for each line item in the budget to explain differences between actual versus budgeted expenses.

Final Report Budget Summary

Please complete the budget summary in the Excel document format, showing remaining funds in the third column. Submit the signed budget form with original signatures to close out the financial report. Have the Financial Officer sign off on remaining funds and return the funds, with documentation of how they are being returned. After review, the NSP II staff will close out the grant files. If any discrepancies are noted, we will follow up with the project director and financial officer.

If funds are being returned, please provide a detailed explanation of why all grant funds were not expended. Funds are returned to MHEC using PCA 38203 (NSP II - grant # and title).

Agency R62
TC 412
Aobj 1204

Contact Samuel Durai Pandian, Director, Budget and Administration, with any questions.
samueldurai.pandian1@maryland.gov, 410.767.3044